

Review

Financial Time Series Forecasting: A Comparison Between Traditional Methods and AI-Driven Techniques

Gwokkwan Sun ^{1,*} and Shuhan Deng ²

- ¹ The Faculty of Finance, City University of Macau, Macau, China
- ² The Faculty of International Tourism and Management, City University of Macau, Macau, China
- * Correspondence: Gwokkwan Sun, The Faculty of Finance, City University of Macau, Macau, China

Abstract: Financial time series forecasting plays a crucial role in predicting future market trends, pricing assets, and managing risks in financial markets. This paper compares traditional methods, such as ARIMA, Exponential Smoothing, and GARCH, with AI-driven techniques, including machine learning and deep learning models, for financial time series forecasting. Traditional models are well-established and effective for stationary data, but they struggle with non-linear relationships and large datasets. In contrast, AI-driven techniques, such as Random Forests, Long Short-Term Memory Networks (LSTMs), and reinforcement learning, offer improved accuracy and adaptability by capturing complex patterns in the data. However, these models come with higher computational complexity and challenges related to interpretability. The paper provides a comprehensive comparison of these methods, highlighting their strengths, limitations, and practical applications. It concludes by offering recommendations for when to use traditional methods versus AI-driven approaches, based on the nature of the data and forecasting needs. The integration of AI with traditional models is also discussed as a promising future direction in financial forecasting.

Keywords: financial time series forecasting; traditional methods; arima; AI -driven techniques; machine learning; deep learning

1. Introduction

1.1. Brief Overview of Financial Time Series Forecasting

Financial time series forecasting refers to the process of predicting future values of financial variables, such as stock prices, exchange rates, interest rates, and economic indicators, based on historical data. Time series data in finance is typically sequential, capturing the dynamics of financial markets over time. The challenge of forecasting such data lies in its inherent volatility, trends, seasonality, and various external factors influencing the market [1]. Over the years, a variety of methods have been developed to model and predict these time series, ranging from simple linear models to complex machine learning algorithms.

1.2. Importance of Accurate Predictions in Financial Markets

Accurate forecasting plays a crucial role in financial decision-making. Investors, traders, and financial institutions rely on forecasts to optimize portfolios, minimize risks, and enhance returns. For instance, a precise prediction of stock prices can enable traders to make informed buy or sell decisions, while accurate forecasting of economic indicators can aid in monetary policy formulation and business planning [2]. The impact of forecasting extends beyond just profit-making, as it can also influence financial stability, market sentiment, and even government regulations. Consequently, improving the accuracy of financial forecasts is a critical endeavor for both academia and industry.

Received: 26 February 2025 Revised: 02 March 2025 Accepted: 24 March 2025 Published: 28 March 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

1

1.3. Purpose and Scope of the Paper

This paper aims to provide a comparative analysis of traditional time series forecasting methods and AI-driven techniques. While traditional approaches such as ARIMA, exponential smoothing, and GARCH models have long been staples in financial forecasting, the rise of AI technologies—especially machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) has introduced more sophisticated tools that can potentially outperform traditional models in certain scenarios. The purpose of this paper is to critically examine both approaches, assess their strengths and weaknesses, and explore their applicability in different financial contexts. By comparing these methodologies, the paper will offer insights into how financial institutions and researchers can leverage the best of both worlds to enhance forecasting accuracy and decision-making [3].

2. Traditional Methods in Financial Time Series Forecasting

2.1. ARIMA (AutoRegressive Integrated Moving Average)

ARIMA is one of the most commonly used models for time series forecasting. It combines three main components: autoregressive (AR), differencing (I), and moving average (MA). ARIMA is particularly useful for forecasting time series data such as stock prices, exchange rates, and interest rates. It works best when the data exhibits some form of trend or seasonality but is generally used for stationary series.

One of ARIMA's main advantages is its simplicity and proven effectiveness, especially when working with univariate time series [4]. However, it has several limitations. ARIMA assumes linear relationships in the data and requires it to be stationary, which is not always the case in real-world financial markets. Additionally, it struggles with large datasets and non-linear relationships, and it can be sensitive to outliers.

2.2. Exponential Smoothing

Exponential smoothing methods, such as the Holt-Winters model, use weighted averages of past observations, with more recent data receiving greater weight. This method is especially effective for data exhibiting trends and seasonality, such as retail sales or certain stock prices. Holt-Winters is commonly used when the goal is to capture both the level and trend in financial data, and it is particularly useful for short-term forecasting.

While exponential smoothing is relatively easy to implement and adapts quickly to changes in the data, it does have some drawbacks. It assumes that future patterns will follow past behavior, which is not always the case in volatile financial markets. Moreover, selecting the right smoothing parameters can be challenging, and the model can struggle when the data is highly volatile or exhibits complex patterns.

2.3. Statistical Models (e.g., GARCH)

The GARCH (Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity) model is a popular tool for modeling volatility in financial time series. It focuses on forecasting the variance or volatility of financial data, such as stock returns or market indices, by modeling time-varying volatility [5]. GARCH models are particularly useful for risk management, as they capture periods of high volatility followed by calm phases, which are common in financial markets.

GARCH is widely used in risk management for calculating metrics like Value-at-Risk (VaR) and in options pricing models. However, it mainly focuses on variance, not on predicting actual price movements, and it may not accurately capture extreme events (also known as "fat tails").

2.4. Limitations of Traditional Methods

Traditional forecasting methods such as ARIMA, exponential smoothing, and GARCH often rely on certain assumptions that may not hold true for all financial data.

Many of these models assume that the data is stationary, which means its statistical properties, like mean and variance, do not change over time. However, financial data is often non-stationary, and transformations like differencing are required to make the data usable. Additionally, these models assume linear relationships between observations, which may not reflect the complex, non-linear dynamics of financial markets.

Furthermore, traditional methods struggle with handling large datasets and the intricate, non-linear patterns present in modern financial markets. Given that financial data is influenced by numerous factors, these models may fail to capture the full complexity of market behavior [6].

As shown in Table 1, traditional methods each have their strengths and limitations, and their applicability in finance can vary depending on the data and the specific forecasting problem.

Method	Strengths	Limitations	Applicability in Finance
ARIMA	Simple, proven, effective for stationary data	Assumes linearity, requires stationary data	Stock prices, exchange rates, interest rates
Smoothing	Adaptive to recent changes, handles trend/seasonality	Assumes past behavior repeats, sensitive to parameter choice	Retail sales, energy consumption, stock trends
GARCH	Models volatility clustering, widely used in risk management	Focuses on volatility, not actual prices	Risk management, options pricing

Table 1. Comparison of Traditional Methods.

3. AI-Driven Techniques in Financial Time Series Forecasting

The rapid development of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has introduced new possibilities for financial time series forecasting. Unlike traditional statistical methods, AI-driven models have the ability to handle vast amounts of data and detect complex, non-linear patterns that may be difficult for conventional approaches to capture. In this section, we will explore some of the most prominent AI-driven techniques used in financial forecasting.

3.1. Machine Learning Models

Machine learning models have gained significant attention in the financial sector due to their ability to process large datasets and uncover hidden patterns. Among the most widely used machine learning techniques are Decision Trees, Random Forests, and Gradient Boosting models. These algorithms work by learning from historical data to make predictions about future outcomes, and they excel at modeling non-linear relationships [7].

For instance, decision trees work by splitting data into smaller subsets based on feature values, which allows the model to adapt to complex patterns. Random Forest and Gradient Boosting are ensemble methods that combine multiple decision trees to improve predictive accuracy. These techniques can handle high-dimensional datasets and capture intricate interactions among variables, making them particularly effective for financial applications where relationships between market factors can be highly complex and nonlinear.

3.2. Deep Learning Models

Deep learning has revolutionized time series forecasting, particularly with the advent of Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and Long Short-Term Memory Networks (LSTMs). RNNs and LSTMs are designed to process sequences of data, which makes them ideal for financial time series that often exhibit temporal dependencies [8]. These models are particularly powerful in forecasting tasks like stock price prediction, currency exchange rates, and commodity prices, where historical trends and patterns are crucial to future predictions.

LSTMs, a specific type of RNN, address the challenge of vanishing gradients in traditional RNNs, allowing them to capture long-term dependencies in time series data. This makes them particularly well-suited for complex forecasting tasks in the financial domain, where events in the distant past may have a significant influence on future outcomes.

3.3. Reinforcement Learning for Portfolio Management

An exciting area within AI is Reinforcement Learning (RL), which has gained traction in portfolio management and algorithmic trading. RL algorithms learn optimal trading strategies by interacting with the financial environment, receiving rewards or penalties based on the performance of their actions. Over time, the algorithm refines its strategy to maximize its cumulative reward, which can lead to more effective trading decisions.

For example, an RL-based model can optimize asset allocation in a portfolio, adjusting positions dynamically based on market conditions. By learning from both historical and real-time data, reinforcement learning models can adapt to shifting market dynamics, making them highly useful for portfolio management and automated trading.

3.4. Strengths of AI-Driven Methods

The major strength of AI-driven methods lies in their adaptability to complex, nonlinear relationships. While traditional models like ARIMA or GARCH assume linearity, AI techniques can capture patterns that are much harder to model, especially in highly volatile and interconnected financial markets. AI models are also able to learn and improve over time, which means they can adapt to new trends and shifts in market conditions without requiring manual intervention.

Another key advantage of AI-driven methods is their ability to handle large, highdimensional datasets. Financial data is vast and diverse, and AI techniques, particularly machine learning and deep learning, excel at processing this data to uncover hidden insights [9]. These models have the potential to identify subtle patterns and make more accurate predictions than traditional models, especially when dealing with large volumes of unstructured data such as news articles or social media sentiment.

As shown in Table 2, AI-driven techniques such as machine learning, deep learning, and reinforcement learning offer significant advantages over traditional models. These methods are particularly effective in handling large datasets, capturing non-linear relationships, and adapting to dynamic market conditions.

Method	Key Features	Applications in Finance
Machine Learning	Handles large datasets, models non-	Stock price prediction,
	linear relationships, ensemble methods.	market trend analysis.
Deep Learning	Captures long-term dependencies,	Stock prices, forex rates,
(RNNs, LSTMs)	excellent for sequential data.	commodity prediction.
Reinforcement	Optimizes decision-making over time,	Portfolio management,
Learning	adapts to market changes.	algorithmic trading.

Table 2. Comparison of AI-Driven Methods in Financial Time Series Forecasting.

4. Comparison of Traditional Methods and AI-Driven Techniques

We compare traditional forecasting methods (such as ARIMA, Exponential Smoothing, and GARCH) with AI-driven techniques (such as Machine Learning, Deep Learning, and Reinforcement Learning) across several important aspects: accuracy, computational complexity, flexibility and adaptability, and interpretability. Each of these factors plays a crucial role in determining the most appropriate forecasting method for a given financial application.

4.1. Accuracy

Accuracy is a critical measure of any forecasting model, particularly in the context of financial markets where even small errors can lead to significant consequences [4]. Traditional methods like ARIMA and GARCH have been used for many years and are effective in scenarios where data follows well-defined trends and seasonality. However, these models are generally limited by their assumption of linearity and stationarity, which may not fully capture the complex dynamics of modern financial data.

In contrast, AI-driven models, particularly machine learning algorithms like Random Forests and Gradient Boosting, can capture non-linear relationships and interactions among variables. This gives them an edge in terms of forecasting accuracy, especially in volatile markets where traditional models may struggle. Deep learning models, such as LSTMs, are particularly effective in capturing long-term dependencies in sequential data, offering high accuracy in time series predictions.

4.2. Computational Complexity

One significant drawback of AI-driven models is their computational complexity. Machine learning and deep learning models often require significant computational resources, particularly when dealing with large datasets. Training deep learning models, such as LSTMs, can be time-consuming and may require specialized hardware (e.g., GPUs). Additionally, the tuning of hyperparameters and cross-validation can further increase the computational load [10].

On the other hand, traditional models like ARIMA and Exponential Smoothing are relatively simpler and less computationally demanding. These methods can be implemented quickly on small to moderate-sized datasets, making them an attractive choice when computational resources are limited. However, this advantage comes at the cost of flexibility and accuracy when dealing with more complex, high-dimensional data.

4.3. Flexibility and Adaptability

When it comes to flexibility and adaptability, AI-driven techniques significantly outperform traditional models. Financial data is often highly complex and subject to rapid changes. AI models are designed to learn from large volumes of data and adapt over time, making them ideal for real-time forecasting in dynamic environments. For example, reinforcement learning can adapt to shifting market conditions by learning from ongoing actions and adjusting strategies accordingly.

In contrast, traditional methods are often constrained by their underlying assumptions (e.g., stationarity, linearity) and may require significant adjustments to handle new, unseen types of data or evolving market trends. This makes AI models more versatile and able to handle a broader range of forecasting challenges.

4.4. Interpretability

Interpretability refers to the ability to understand and explain how a model arrives at its predictions. Traditional models like ARIMA and Exponential Smoothing are generally considered more interpretable because their processes are based on clear, statistical principles. For instance, in ARIMA, the coefficients of the model can be easily understood, and the relationships between the data points are relatively straightforward.

AI-driven models, particularly deep learning models, are often referred to as "black boxes" because it can be difficult to explain how they make specific predictions. This lack of transparency can be a concern in regulated industries like finance, where decision-making processes need to be explainable and auditable. However, recent advances in AI, such as explainable AI (XAI), are working to improve the interpretability of these models, offering more insights into how and why certain decisions are made.

As shown in Table 3, AI-driven techniques generally offer higher accuracy and greater flexibility, particularly when dealing with complex financial data. However, they come with increased computational demands and challenges related to interpretability. Traditional methods, while simpler and more interpretable, may not be as effective in handling the dynamic, non-linear nature of modern financial markets.

Aspect	Traditional Methods	AI-Driven Techniques
A 201100 011	Effective for stationary and	Superior at capturing non-linear and
Accuracy	linear data	complex patterns
Computational	Low computational demand,	High computational cost, especially
Complexity	easy to implement	for deep learning
Flexibility and	Limited adaptability to	Highly adaptable, can learn and
Adaptability	changing market conditions	adjust in real-time
Internated	Highly interpretable,	Often difficult to interpret,
Interpretability	transparent processes	especially deep learning models

Table 3. Comparison of Traditional Methods and AI-Driven Techniques.

5. Challenges and Future Directions

While both traditional methods and AI-driven techniques have demonstrated their effectiveness in financial time series forecasting, several challenges still exist that can impact the accuracy, reliability, and applicability of these models. In this section, we explore some of the key challenges these techniques face, as well as potential future directions in the field of financial forecasting [11].

5.1. Data Quality and Availability

High-quality financial data is essential for both traditional and AI-driven methods to function effectively. For traditional models like ARIMA, GARCH, and Exponential Smoothing, data quality directly impacts model performance. For instance, missing values, outliers, and noisy data can distort the results, making predictions less reliable. Similarly, AI models, especially machine learning and deep learning techniques, require large amounts of high-quality data for training. These models are highly sensitive to the data they are trained on, and poor data quality can lead to inaccurate predictions, ultimately undermining the model's usefulness.

The availability of data is also a concern, particularly when dealing with emerging financial markets or new asset classes. Insufficient historical data can limit the effectiveness of both traditional and AI methods, and obtaining high-frequency, real-time data for accurate predictions remains a challenge for many financial institutions.

5.2. Overfitting and Model Complexity

Overfitting is a significant concern when dealing with complex models, especially in AI. AI models, particularly deep learning networks, have the capacity to learn intricate patterns within the data, but they can also pick up noise and irrelevant information, leading to overfitting. This means that the model may perform exceptionally well on the training data but struggle to generalize to new, unseen data. In financial markets, where data is constantly evolving, overfitting can be particularly damaging, as it may lead to poor predictions in real-world scenarios.

Traditional models, while less prone to overfitting, also face challenges in capturing the complex, non-linear relationships that dominate modern financial markets. The simplicity of models like ARIMA or GARCH may limit their predictive power, particularly in high-volatility environments where more sophisticated models may be necessary.

5.3. Future Trends

Looking ahead, several exciting developments are shaping the future of financial time series forecasting.

5.3.1. Integration of AI with Traditional Methods

One promising direction is the integration of AI with traditional forecasting methods. While AI techniques offer flexibility and accuracy, they often require large datasets and significant computational resources. On the other hand, traditional methods are computationally efficient but may struggle with the complexity of modern financial data. Combining the strengths of both approaches could lead to more robust models that offer improved forecasting accuracy without sacrificing interpretability. For example, AI models can be used to capture non-linear relationships and complex patterns, while traditional models can provide a solid, interpretable foundation for understanding the underlying data.

5.3.2. AI Advancements in Financial Forecasting

As AI technologies continue to evolve, we can expect more sophisticated models that integrate reinforcement learning, transfer learning, and other advanced techniques to improve forecasting accuracy and adaptability. Reinforcement learning, for example, offers the potential for models to continuously learn and adapt in real-time, optimizing trading strategies as market conditions change. Additionally, new approaches in explainable AI (XAI) could enhance the transparency of deep learning models, making them more interpretable for financial decision-makers.

With the advent of quantum computing, there is also potential for significant breakthroughs in financial forecasting. Quantum algorithms could speed up the training of AI models and improve their ability to handle complex, high-dimensional datasets, opening up new possibilities for real-time forecasting in global financial markets.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have explored the strengths and weaknesses of both traditional methods and AI-driven techniques in the context of financial time series forecasting. We began by discussing key traditional models, including ARIMA, Exponential Smoothing, and GARCH, and highlighted their effectiveness in certain situations, particularly when financial data exhibits clear trends or stationarity. However, we also identified their limitations, such as assumptions of linearity, stationarity, and difficulty handling non-linear relationships or large datasets.

On the other hand, AI-driven approaches, including machine learning models like Random Forests, deep learning models such as LSTMs, and reinforcement learning for portfolio optimization, offer substantial improvements in forecasting accuracy, flexibility, and adaptability. These models excel at handling complex, non-linear patterns in financial data, which are often overlooked by traditional models. Despite their advantages, AI models come with increased computational complexity and challenges related to interpretability, making them more resource-intensive and harder to explain.

The comparison of both approaches revealed that while traditional methods are more interpretable and computationally efficient, AI-driven techniques provide greater accuracy and adaptability, especially when dealing with the dynamic and volatile nature of modern financial markets. However, the challenge of obtaining high-quality, reliable data and avoiding overfitting remains for both types of models.

In practice, the decision to use traditional methods versus AI-driven approaches depends largely on the nature of the financial data and the specific forecasting needs. Traditional methods may still be the preferred choice for simpler, well-behaved datasets where linearity and stationarity are present, or when computational resources are limited. They are also suitable for scenarios where interpretability is essential, such as regulatory environments or when model transparency is required. Conversely, AI-driven models should be considered when forecasting complex, non-linear, or high-dimensional financial data, such as stock prices or forex rates, where traditional models struggle. These models are particularly useful when predictive accuracy is paramount, and sufficient computational resources are available to handle the increased complexity.

Combining AI with traditional methods may offer a balanced solution, leveraging the strengths of both approaches. Looking ahead, as AI continues to advance and overcome challenges related to computational demand and interpretability, we can expect even more powerful and efficient forecasting models that will further transform financial decision-making processes.

References

- 1. Y. Zhao, W. Zhang, and X. Liu, "Grid search with a weighted error function: Hyper-parameter optimization for financial time series forecasting," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 154, p. 111362, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2024.111362.
- 2. C. Zhang, N. N. A. Sjarif, and R. Ibrahim, "Deep learning models for price forecasting of financial time series: A review of recent advancements: 2020–2022," *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Data Min. Knowl. Discov.*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. e1519, 2024, doi: 10.1002/widm.1519.
- 3. T. Blasco, J. S. Sánchez, and V. García, "A survey on uncertainty quantification in deep learning for financial time series prediction," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 576, p. 127339, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2024.127339.
- 4. S. A. Edalatpanah, F. S. Hassani, F. Smarandache, A. Sorourkhah, D. Pamucar, and B. Cui, "A hybrid time series forecasting method based on neutrosophic logic with applications in financial issues," *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 129, p. 107531, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.engappai.2023.107531.
- 5. S. S. W. Fatima and A. Rahimi, "A review of time-series forecasting algorithms for industrial manufacturing systems," *Machines*, vol. 12, no. 6, p. 380, 2024, doi: 10.3390/machines12060380.
- M. Mohammadi, S. Jamshidi, A. Rezvanian, M. Gheisari, and A. Kumar, "Advanced fusion of MTM-LSTM and MLP models for time series forecasting: An application for forecasting the solar radiation," *Meas. Sens.*, vol. 33, p. 101179, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.measen.2024.101179.
- 7. R. P. Varshney and D. K. Sharma, "Optimizing time-series forecasting using stacked deep learning framework with enhanced adaptive moment estimation and error correction," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 2024, p. 123487, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2024.123487.
- 8. J. Cheng, S. Tiwari, D. Khaled, M. Mahendru, and U. Shahzad, "Forecasting Bitcoin prices using artificial intelligence: Combination of ML, SARIMA, and Facebook Prophet models," *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, vol. 198, p. 122938, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122938.
- 9. D. Yao and K. Yan, "Time series forecasting of stock market indices based on DLWR-LSTM model," *Finance Res. Lett.*, vol. 68, p. 105821, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.frl.2024.105821.
- 10. W. Li and K. E. Law, "Deep learning models for time series forecasting: A review," *IEEE Access*, 2024, doi: 10.1109/AC-CESS.2024.3422528.
- 11. A. Bhambu, R. Gao, and P. N. Suganthan, "Recurrent ensemble random vector functional link neural network for financial time series forecasting," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 161, p. 111759, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2024.111759.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of GBP and/or the editor(s). GBP and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.